

accordingly. Please note however, the USACE respectively request that any correspondence related to this subject be forwarded directly to the USACE, Sacramento District Legal counsel.

9. MSD - Please provide the supporting calculation for the MSD in both Sectors 3a and 3b. The 200' MSD appears to be a default value.

Response: Again, the reviewer is referred to Attachment (A) and (B) for supporting calculations. As outlined in reference (f), MSDs smaller than 200' are not allowed under USACE regulations, even though calculations may reflect shorter distances (also see reference (a)).

10. Page 1: Under the Technical Evaluation: section; paragraph 2. Please define what you mean by, "A radius of 1250 feet from the demolition pit has been used to define the potential kick-out area from the pit". Specifically what does the phrase "potential kick-out area represent" mean. Also please define why only one pit has been used to defined the 1250' kick-out when four demolition pits have been identified.

Response: The Technical evaluation has been revised by focusing the discussion on the MFR for each Sector rather than sub-areas within a Sector. The 11 December 2000 MFR reflects this change.

Note: the 1,250-foot distance was employed during the approved EE/CA investigation. We knew little about the type of ordnance that was used at the demolition site so we selected the 1250 default distance as a reasonable distance for limiting our Sector size. This may or may not be an appropriate distance for a removal action, but the solution is to expand the clearance area if we're still finding ordnance at the limits of the area.

Potential kick-out area means an area around the demolition pit within which we would expect to find kick-outs of live ordnance from detonations. The analysis focused on the 'worst case' or largest demolition pit; this is consistent with UXO/OE removal operations where 'worst case' areas would be addressed prior to other areas within the Sector. Moreover, the other 4 demolition pits are in close proximity to the primary pit.

11. Page 2: Conclusions and Recommendations: Item number 2. Please define qualitatively and quantitatively the increase risk to the public identified in the statement; "The